

## **SUPPORTING STATEMENT: S 05**

Looking at the policy case, Eddie should not be arrested as the "first originator" under the social media code.

Eddie merely forwarded the text he received from someone. The originator would be the head/founder/president of the "Hellfire club".

The policymakers have infringed on the right to privacy to curb the spread of hate speech by surveying the every aspect of citizens' life.

To address the menace caused by the ill use of social media, the govt. should lay down a policy of encrypted tokenisation where each sender of message should be allotted a token, this token must be encrypted and attached with the encryption of message, and as far as privacy is concerned the token system should be mechanised in such a way that only Police or the permitted officeholders (eg. Medmax's engineer) can know the senders address. This will help in protecting the privacy of public and will also serve the purpose of new rules and regulations on social media ethics laid by government. General public should be informed and educated regarding this new mechanism of encrypted tokenisation.

It is rightly said, "PRIVACY BREACHED IS PRIVACY DEFEATED".